

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36632 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2025 Thana- MOKAMAH District- Patna

Karu Singh @ Rakesh Kumar @ Rakesh Singh son of Late Devendra Singh
@ Devendra Prasad Singh Resident of Village- Mokama, Ward no. 11, Ps-
Mokama, Dist- Patna Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Khushi Kumari Daughter of Rakesh Singh Resident of Village- Moldiyar
tola Mokama, Near Mahavir Sthan, Ps- Mokama, Dist- patna At Present
Resident of sakarwar Tola, Ward no. 15, Mokama, Ps- Mokama, Dist- Patna
... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramkant Sharma, Sr. Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2026 Heard Mr. Ramkant Sharma, learned senior counsel

for the petitioner as well as Mr. Harendra Prasad, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
18.12.2025 in connection with Mokama P.S. Case No. 240 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 07.06.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 115(2), 126(2), 85, 98, 99, 143(2), 143(3), 144(1),
144(2), 64, 74, 76, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant
alleged that the petitioner, who is her husband and his associate,
Mina Devi compelled her in the illegal profession of
prostitution. She was also denied food and restrained from going
out of the house and meet her parental family members. It is



further alleged that on 15.01.2025, the accused persons called her on her mobile phone and threatened to kill her and parents.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated. He next submits that informant of the present case was arrested by the Barh Police in a prostitution case and Barh P.S. Case No. 153 of 2024 was registered against her which shows that the informant herself was indulged in illegal profession of prostitution. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 18.12.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner carries sixteen criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional



Chief Judicial Magistrate-III, Barh, Patna in connection with Mokama P.S. Case No. 240 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

