

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39053 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2025 Thana- MADHUBANI COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Madhubani

Rudra Narayan Sah, S/o Sagar Prasad Sah, R/o Village - Dakahi, P.S. -  
Andhramath, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vikash Kumar Gupta, Drugs Inspector- 05 Sadar Hospital Campus,  
Madhubani, P.S.- Town, Dist.- Madhubani

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Advocate  
Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      27-07-2026                      Heard Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, learned Sr.  
  
counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Abhay Kumar, learned A.P.P.  
  
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Complaint Case bearing C.R. (C ) (O) No. 13 of 2025, for the  
offence punishable under Sections 18(c), 27(b)(ii) and 27(d) of  
the Drugs and Cosmetics Act.

3. The prosecution case in brief is that on 04.06.2025,  
at 2.25 PM, a raid was conducted by the concerned officers along  
with police personnel at the spot. During investigation, a  
medical store was found operating without a valid license. The  
premises were initially closed, and one Sagar Prasad Sah was



present. On being questioned about the medical store, he stated that it belongs to his son, Rudra Narayan Sah (petitioner). Thereafter, the premises were opened in his presence where medicines were found stored, however, no valid drug license or records of purchase and sale was produced. On enquiry, it was being revealed that the shop belong to the petitioner.

4. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 13.04.2026 and has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel further submits that the entire case is based on documentary evidence and seizure already made and no recovery has been made from possession of the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner was involved in the business of drugs without having a valid license.

6. Having heard the parties and taking into account that the issue relates to the seizure of the medicines recovered from the premises and not from possession of the petitioner and the trial is pending in the Court concerned, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of



Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the  
likewise amount each to the satisfaction of learned District &  
Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum- Special Judge, Madhubani,  
in connection with Complaint Case bearing CR (C ) (O) No. 13  
of 2025.

**(Alok Kumar, J)**

khushbu/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

