

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9489 of 2026

=====

Manejar Prasad Son of Shivdhari Prasad, Resident of Village/Mauza- Banpura
Ke Tola, P.S.- Rashulpur, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of General Administration, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Siwan.
5. The Circle Officer, Block- Siswan, District- Siwan.
6. The Chairman, Ramgarh PACS, District- Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2026 The 17 days delay in refiling the petition stands
condoned.

2. Heard the parties.

3. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for issuance of an appropriate writ
restraining the Respondent authorities from
constructing Godown of Ramgarh PACS over the
purchased/raiyati land of the petitioner
appertaining to Khata No. 09, Khesra No. 66, 69,*



71 situated in Mauza- Mehandar, Thana No. 521, Anchal-Siswan, within the District-Siwan which is purchased land of the petitioner and title and possession over the petitioner has also been affirmed under judicial order dated 31.01.2004 passed by learned Sub-Judge-V, Siwan in Title Suit No. 191/2002 because the Respondent Circle Officer, Siswan earlier also attempted to disturb the said land of the petitioner with a further prayer to direct the Respondent authority to forthwith stop the construction work of Ramgarh PACS Godown over the aforesaid land of the petitioner. Any other relief/reliefs for which he petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it would suffice if his case is taken up by the Collector, Siwan (the respondent no. 4) where he will be submitting the entire facts of the case.

5. Learned State counsel submits that if proper petition is preferred, an appropriate order shall be passed.

6. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of



allowing the petitioner to approach the Collector, Siwan in next four weeks who shall be taking up the matter and after hearing the parties, will take the matter to its logical conclusion by a reasoned order preferably within a period of four months.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Sanny/-

U			
---	--	--	--

