

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35507 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1562 Year-2023 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

=====

Manik S/O Surendra Grover R/O 162 A, Model Town, Fatehabad, P.S-
Fatehabad, Haryana. At present, Bank of Maharashtra, Sahakar Nagar Parvati
Paitha, Pune (Maharashtra).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Saloni W/O Manik R/O 162A, Model Town, Fatehbad, P.S- Fatehbad,
Haryana. At present residing at Flat No. D/302, Rajvila, Gaya dobhi Road,
New Airport, P.S- Magadh Medical College, Distt.- Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anuj Kumar, Adv. Mr. Aditya Pandey, Adv. Ms. Eashita Raj, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s:		Mr. Rabia Gulnaz, Adv. Mr. Ranjana Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

7 21-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is named in the complaint case and apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1562 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 379, 120B of IPC and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act.

3. The allegation against petitioner is commit mental and physical cruelty upon complainant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that even as per complaint petition it nowhere appears that the demand of dowry in actual was raised rather money was asked as a loan for purchasing flat. It is submitted that petitioner is a P.O. of bank, whereas O.P. No. 2/ complainant is a fashion designer and it was inter caste marriage and when the complainant faced inconvenience after joining her matrimonial home she left on her own.

5. Arguing further, learned counsel for petitioner drawn attention of this Court towards the Court question asked to complainant while recording her S.A. during inquiry that she would not even join this petitioner as to continue her marriage life even requested by her husband/ petitioner.

6. Arguing further, it is submitted that the allegation is very much general and omnibus in nature as to implicate entire family members. While concluding argument, it is submitted that petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.



7. Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

8. Mrs. Rabia Gulnaz, learned counsel for the informant while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that the petitioner and his family member demanded dowry of Rs. 40 lakh and due to non-fulfillment of the same committed mental and physical cruelty upon complainant.

9. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as allegation *qua* committing mental and physical assault appears very much general and omnibus in nature, accordingly petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, is directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned SDJM, Gaya /concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Complaint Case No. 1562 of 2023 , subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS.



Sudha/-

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

