

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33980 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2019 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

=====

Pappu Kumar Thakur @ Pappu Thakur S/o Chandan Thakur R/o Village -
Prithwipur, P.S - Panapur, District - Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeet Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mritunjay Kumar Nirala

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 21-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State .

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Panapur P.S. Case No. 80 of 2019 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 386/387 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the mobile which has been referred in the F.I.R. from which the
extortion is said to have been made that has no connection with
the petitioner and petitioner has clean antecedent. It is alleged
that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R., the petitioner's
name has come only on the basis of confessional statement of
co-accused while there is no record of any criminality from the
house of this petitioner. It is next submitted that the petitioner
has clean antecedent and the case is of the year 2019 and for the



last seven years, the police has not made any effort to nab this person on the basis of the confession made by the co-accused and very recently the police arrived the house of the petitioner giving cause to him to take recourse of filing Anticipatory bail application. Learned counsel for the petitioner, by referring to the judgment rendered in the case of ***Gursewak Singh v. The State of Punjab, Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.11234/2025***, submits that if prosecution, despite there being specific allegation, has never took any process to nab the accused in the span of four years, hence, in such event, the accused may not be required to be arrested by the prosecution and on this premise, the Hon'ble High Court can exercise its discretionary powers.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and in view of the judgment referred by the learned counsel for the petitioner and petitioner is a man of clean antecedent, this court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Let the petitioner, as named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees



Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate 1, Saran at Chapra, in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 80 of 2019, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023

(Ajit Kumar, J)

Sanjeev/-vikram

U		T	
---	--	---	--

