

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33714 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-493 Year-2025 Thana- DALSINGHSARAI District- Samastipur

1. Amit Paswan @ Amit Kumar Paswan S/O Udho Paswan Resident of village-Piparpanti Kewata, Ward No.-11, Police Station-Dalsingsarai, District-Samastipur.
2. Ranjan @ Ranjan Paswan @ Rajan Kumar Son of Udho Paswan Resident of village-Piparpanti Kewata, Ward No.-11, Police Station-Dalsingsarai, District-Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Renuka Ratnakar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-05-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor

appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The accused/petitioners apprehending their

arrest in connection with Dalsingsarai P.S. Case No. 493 of

2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections

126(2), 115(2), 121(1), 121(2), 118(1), 132, 352, 351(2),

351(3), 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. As per FIR, petitioners alleged to assault and

also to use criminal force to deter informant who is a public

servant from discharging his duty, when informant arrived



village of petitioners to arrest the accused persons of Dalsinghsarai P.S. Case No. 366 of 2025.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that no overt act attributed to these petitioners and they were implicated only for the reason that they are co-villagers. It is pointed out that implication of petitioners appears only on the basis of suspicion as their name was supplied by local chaukidar. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that no injury was caused to any of the public servant/police personnel during the occurrence, which negate the allegation of assaulting and also of using criminal force as to constitute the alleged offence.

5. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is pointed out that petitioner no. 1 found in three more criminal cases and petitioner no. 2 found involved in two more criminal cases, where they are on bail.

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact that both petitioners appears implicated *prima facie* on the basis of suspicion, accordingly, both



above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks of the order, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-1st, Dalsingsarai, District- Samastipur/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Dalsingsarai P.S. Case No. 493 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

