

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33790 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7 Year-2024 Thana- JALALPUR District- Saran

Vikash Kumar Manjhi @ Vikesh Kumar S/O Jai Prakash Manjhi Resident of
village - Hariharpur, Police Station - Baniyapur, District - Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The state of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Ms. Mili Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 24-07-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Jalalpur P.S. Case No.07 of 2024 for allegedly having
committed offence under Section 366(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution story, which has been lodged
on the basis of the written report submitted by the informant, to the
effect that on 09.01.2024 his daughter has been kidnapped by the
petitioner with an intention to marry her.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. It is
submitted that the occurrence took place on 09.01.2024 and the
First Information Report was lodged on 10.01.2024. It is further
submitted that the petitioner and the daughter of the informant



have performed marriage in a temple at Bhagalpur and are living happily. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the daughter of the informant came to know about the lodging of the case, she on her own went before the police and gave her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. on 24.06.2024, wherein she did not support the case of the prosecution. It is further submitted that at present the daughter of the informant i.e. the victim girl is mother of a son and a daughter out of the wedlock and is living with the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner has got a clean antecedent.

5. *Per contra*, the learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by submitting that serious allegation of kidnapping the minor daughter of the informant has been levelled against the petitioner.

6. Having considered the rival submissions and after going through the records, the materials available on record, the case diary as well as the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., it would transpire that the victim girl during her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. on 24.06.2024 has stated that she eloped with the petitioner and performed marriage in a temple at Bhagalpur on 15.01.2024. Thereafter she went with the petitioner to Rajasthan and when she came to know about the lodging of the present case after about six months, she came to the



police station on her own and she also said that she wants to live with her husband and she is five months pregnant.

7. Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-II, Saran in connection with Jalalpur P.S. Case No.07 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with a further condition that:-

The learned court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court concerned shall take steps for cancellation of his bail bond. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J.)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

