

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34108 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-732 Year-2018 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Nandlal Ram, S/o Late Shivnath Ram, R/o Village- Jigina, Post Office-
Badhari P.S.- Agrer, Dist.- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sanju Devi, D/o Ganga Ram R/o Village-Jigina, Post- Badhari P.S.- Agrer,
Dist.- Rohtas. At Present R/o Village- Jamuhar, P.S.- Dehri, Dist.- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Deepti Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh-1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

5 13-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner is named in the complaint

and apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint

Case No.732 of 2018 in which cognizance has been taken for

the offences punishable under Sections 498-A of the Indian

Penal Code and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The allegation against petitioner is to commit

cruelty upon complainant due to non-fulfilment of demand of

dowry as raised for cash of Rs.60,000/- and one Hero Honda

motorcycle.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the demand of dowry and motorcycle appears raised in very general and omnibus manner. It is also pointed out that the complainant has solemnized her second marriage subsisting the present marriage with petitioner and, therefore, the present complaint case was filed with oblique motive as to harass the petitioner and his entire family members. In support of submission *qua* marriage, it is submitted that the said statement has been made on affidavit, which is specifically averred in para-14 of the present bail petition. The petitioner claimed clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

6. Upon perusal of office report, it appears that notice was served upon sister-in-law of complainant. Accordingly, same appears validly served upon. Despite of valid service of notice, none appeared on behalf of complainant/O.P. No.2.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as allegation *qua* demand of dowry appears very general and omnibus in nature, coupled with the



fact that complainant *prima facie* appears solemnized her marriage, subsisting her first marriage with petitioner, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram in connection with Complaint Case No.732 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short ‘CrPC’)/under Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (in short ‘BNSS’).

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

