

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 34770 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-204 Year-2025 Thana- BASOPATTI District- Madhubani

1. Chandraveer Yadav S/o Late Khakhan Yadav R/o Village- Pancharatan, PS-Basopatti, District- Madhubani
2. Parwati Devi W/o Chandraveer Yadav R/o Village- Pancharatan, PS-Basopatti, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate,

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case in connection with Basopatti P.S. Case No. 204 of 2025 dated 01.09.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103, 238, 61(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation, the daughter of the informant was done to death by petitioner and co-accused persons due to non-fulfillment of dowry, when the marriage took place in the year 2015 and out of the wedlock, there were two children who are presently aged seven years and five years respectively.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that there is delay of three days in lodging the F.I.R. It has further been submitted that petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law respectively of the deceased. It has further been submitted by referring to paragraph 15 of the petition that the husband of the deceased is in judicial custody. It has further been submitted that F.I.R. has not been registered under section 85 of B.N.S. It has further been submitted that due to certain ailments, the deceased died, the informant and others participated in cremation etc. but after sometime the informant lodged the present case in order to blackmail and put pressure. It has further been submitted that petitioners used to reside separately, maintain separate mess, residence, and worship independently and there is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. It has further been submitted that there is no one to take care of the minor children out of the wedlock. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Madhubani, in connection with Basopatti P.S. Case No. 204 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

