

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33620 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2026 Thana- INDUSTRIAL AREA District- Vaishali

Rahul Kumar Singh @ Rahul Kumar S/o Ratnesh Kumar Singh @ Ratnesh
Singh R/o Vill. - Madhopur Nijhama @ Nizma, P.S. - Mahua, Distt. - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anish Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the
Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of 24 cases under the Excise Act and
allegation is of recovery of 8863.56 liters of liquor from a truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing
was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the
owner of the seized and came to be implicated based on secret
information which is the easiest way to implicate someone. It is
also submitted that once an accused is implicated in a case



relating to excise, the police starts implicating mechanically either at the instance of *Chowkidar*, local person, confessional statement or secret information without holding a proper investigation. It is also submitted that petitioner, earlier in similar manner, came to be implicated in cases relating to Excise.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 100000/- (Rupees One Lakh) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Industrial Area P.S. Case No. 44 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than 24 cases then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this



Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of 24 cases only, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

