

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34288 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2026 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

=====

Samarjit Kumar Singh @ Sonu Singh son of Sambhunth Singh @ Shambhu
Nath Singh @ Shambhu singh Resident of Village -Madhurapur Kushde PS-
Lalganj Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 18-05-2026 Heard learned Senior counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case
in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 92 of 2024, registered
for the offences punishable under Section 126(2), 115(2),
118(1), 303(2), 74,109,352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation, this petitioner and co-accused
'Sonu Singh' assaulted the brother of the informant namely,
Raunak Raj, by means of iron rod on his head injuring him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that there is no allegation of repetition of blow against the
petitioner and there happens to be one injury, which is lacerated
wound on the middle of Occipital region, the same is opined to
be simple in nature and the allegation against the petitioner is
not corroborated by the injury report. It has further been
submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties



and although, the petitioner has been made accused in some other cases, but in three of them, police has submitted final form against the petitioner in which the allegations are not true and in one of the cases which is compoundable in nature, parties have compromised the case, while in two cases petitioner is on bail and many of those cases have been filed by informant side. It has further been submitted that the bone of contention is the private loan amount which were taken by the parties.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M-VIII-cum-Sub-Judge IX Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 92 of 2026, subject to satisfaction of the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

Pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Praveen Kumar, J)

