

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32999 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2009 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

-
-
1. Ashok Kumar @ Ashok Manjhi S/O Chamri Manjhi R/O Village-Mohudar, P.S.- Kawakol, Dist.- Nawada, Bihar.
 2. Satyendra Kumar @ Satyendra Manjhi S/O Chamri Manjhi R/O Village-Mohudar, P.S.- Kawakol, Dist.- Nawada, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dukhi Manjhi S/O Late Latan Manjhi R/O Village-Mohudar, P.S.- Kawakol, Dist.- Nawada, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ankita Kumari
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 13-05-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable in connection with Kawakol P.S. Case No.14 of 2009 under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the IPC, which is pending before the court of Additional Sessions Judge-III, Nawada.

3. As per the prosecution, the FIR has been lodged against four named accused persons including the petitioners with allegation that due to love affair between the informant's brother and wife of one accused persons, the accused persons



started assaulting, which leads to death of informant's brother.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. He submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that during investigation, final form has been submitted against the petitioners, but subsequently, cause of action created against them, therefore, they moved for anticipatory bail.

5. Counsel also submits that antecedent of the petitioners is clean. He further submits that on protest petition, the petitioners' name has come.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that neither a copy of the final form nor protest petition has been attached. He further submits that it is a case of the year 2009 and petitioners are named accused and after 15 years, the petitioners have moved for anticipatory bail.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, therefore the bail application of the petitioners is hereby rejected.

8. However, Trial Court is directed to consider the regular bail application of the petitioners, if they surrender



within 6 weeks from today and pray for regular bail. If the Trial Court finds that the petitioners' name has come by virtue of protest petition then, sympathetic view may be taken and their bail application may be disposed of, without being prejudice of the present order preferably on the same day.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

