

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1748 of 2025

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.1228 of 1996

=====

Narendra Narayan Prasad Son of Late Dr. Umesh Prasad Verma, Resident of Mohalla-Rajendranagar Madhubani, Police Station- Khajanchi Hat, Post Office- Purnea, District- Purnea, Pin Code-854301.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Dr. S. Sidharth I.A.S. presently posted as Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. Mr. Ajay Yadav, I.A.S. presently posted as the Director, Higher Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Darbhanga through its Registrar, Kameshwarnagar, Darbhanga.
4. Prof. Dr. Laxmi Niwas Pandey, presently holding the post of Vice Chancellor, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwarnagar, Darbhanga.
5. Prof. Brajesh Pati Tripathi, presently holding the post of Registrar, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwarnagar Darbhanga.
6. The Authorized Officer of the Pay Verification Cell, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. Governing Body, Hathuwaraj Gyanodaya Sanskrit Mahavidyalaya, Mandiri, Patna- 800001 through its Secretary Mr. Anil Ishwar.
8. Mrs. Mandwi Sandilya presently posted as the Principal of Hathuwaraj Gyanodaya Sanskrit Mahavidyalaya, Mandiri, Patna- 800001.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gyanand Roy, Advocate Ms. Akanksha Mahiya, Advocate
For the University	:	Mr. Binay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Bihari Sinha, GA-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

14 08-07-2026

Learned counsel for the opposite parties, relying
upon the letter dated 23.06.2026 issued by the University,



submit that the order under contempt has already been complied with as the amount of Rs. 6,23,073/- has already been credited in the account of the petitioner. The said letter is marked as 'X' and is kept on record.

2. Considering the aforesaid submission, we are of the view that no further order is required to be passed in the present matter as the order passed by learned Writ Court has been complied with.

3. Accordingly, the present application stands disposed of.

4. If so advised, and in the event the petitioner remains aggrieved by the decision of the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to challenge the same by filing an appropriate application in accordance with law.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)

rishabh/rajesh

U			
---	--	--	--

