IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1339 of 2026
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20584 of 2025

CNR No. BRHC010474592026

==========

Gopal Kumar S/o Kedar Prasad Sharma, R/o Walipur, P.S Pipariya, District-Lakhisarai, Bihar 811311.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Divesh Sehara, Secretary, Rural Works Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Divesh Sehara, Secretary. Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Jai Kishor Thakur, Chief Engineer 3 Bhagalpur, Rural Works Department, Patna, Bihar.
4. Smt. Aruna Kumari, Nodal Officer, Sulabh Samparkta Yojana Scheme, Rural Works Department.
5. Sri Ashutosh Kumar, Executive-Engineer, Rural Works Department, Lakhisarai, Bihar.
6. Sri Deep Narayan Prasad, The Superintending Engineer, Work Zone, Munger, Rural Works Department.
7. Sri Arvind Kumar, Secretary to the Chief Engineer (Technical), Rural Works Department.
8. Sindhu Nath, Director, SB Engicon Private Limited, Royal City, West Judges Colony, Saguna Patna, 801503.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shekhar Singh, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Kumar Anjaneya Shanu, Advocate
		Mr. Subham, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ranvir Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, AAG-I
		Mr. Aman Priyadarshi, RC to AG
		Mr. Lokesh Kumar, AC to AG

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

6 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present contempt application has been filed

WEB COPY

alleging non-compliance with the order dated 02.04.2026 passed in CWJC No. 20584 of 2025, which came to be allowed by observing as follows:

> *"28. In view of the discussions made herein above, this Court is of the view that the non-disclosure of the said work does not amount to a material lapse affecting the petitioner's bid, and consequently, the impugned decisions dated 06.10.2025 as contained in Annexure – P/6, and 02.12.2025 as contained in Annexure – P/8 series, cannot be sustained in law and are, hereby, set aside.*
> *29. Accordingly, this writ petition stands allowed.*

3. A second supplementary show-cause has been filed on behalf of opposite party Nos. 2 to 7, wherein following statements have been made in paragraphs 3, 4 and 5:

> *"3. That in compliance with the aforesaid order of this Hon'ble Court, the tender committee vide order dt. 26.10.2026, declared the petitioner as teh L1 bidder, and cancelled the order 05.12.2025 declaring S.B.Engicon as the lowest bidder.*
> *4. That subsequent to the order of declaration of L1 bidder, and cancellation of the previous tender work, the respondent authority through Memo No. 1040, dated 26.10.2026, issued work order to the petitioner.*
> *5. That furthermore, the Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, (W) Division, Lakhisarai, through Memo No. 1699 dated 27.10.2026, issued the Letter of Acceptance(LOA) stating that the petitioner is directed to furnish Performance Security within 15 days in accordance with the conditions of contract using for that purpose form in section VIII annex. D and sign the contract, failing which action as stated in Para 34.2 of ITB will be taken.*

4. In view of the aforesaid, this Court is of the view

WEB COPY

that no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt application as the order under contempt as affirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court has already been complied with.

5. Accordingly, the present contempt application stands disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Sujit/-

U			

WEB COPY