

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33410 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-137 Year-2025 Thana- GHOGHARDIHA District- Madhubani

Deepak Yadav @ Deepak Kumar Yadav S/o Ramanand Yadav R/o Village-
Basuari, P.S.- Ghoghardiha, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The State of Bihar Patna High Court Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Kumari Pallavi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-05-2026 Heard Ms. Kumari Pallavi, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Binod Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
10.03.2026 in connection with Ghoghardiha P.S. Case No. 137
of 2025, F.I.R. dated 25.08.2025 for the offences punishable
under Sections 302(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, 4-5 miscreants
intercepted the informant and snatched his mobile phone and
watch. Later on, with the help of police, one Rahul Kumar was
apprehended and he disclosed the name of the petitioner as his
associate.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It appears from the FIR that one Rahul Kumar was arrested and he has disclosed that the petitioner along with co-accused persons were also involved in the present crime in question. She further submits that except the disclosure made by the apprehended co-accused person, nothing has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence and nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. She further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 10.03.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries three criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits that he is on bail in all the pending matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st



Class, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani in connection with Ghoghardiha
P.S. Case No. 137 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

