

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34698 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Samastipur

Rani Kumari D/o Ram Naresh Rai Resident of vill- Ward No 7, Chaita, Police  
Station- Angarghat, District-Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Prashant Kumar S/o Dinesh Rai R/o vill - Bhagwanpur Desua, P.S.-  
Ujjiarpur, Distt.- Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      14-07-2026                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
learned counsel for the State.

2. This application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for cancellation of the bail granted to Opposite Party No. 02 by the learned Court of the Exclusive Special Judge (Rape & POCSO), Samastipur, in connection with ABP No. 496 of 2026, *vide* order dated 07.03.2026, arising out of Mahila P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025, registered for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 69, 89, 352, 351(2), and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after being granted the privilege of bail, Opposite Party No. 2 has



been threatening the petitioner as well as other witnesses and is misusing the liberty granted by the learned court below. It is further submitted that such conduct on the part of Opposite Party No. 2 is interfering in the fair trial of the case.

4. Having regard to the nature of allegations made in the present application, this Court grants liberty to the petitioner to submit an appropriate representation, if not already submitted, before the Superintendent of Police, Samastipur, with regard to the alleged threats and apprehension expressed by the petitioner.

5. In the event such representation is filed, the Superintendent of Police, Samastipur, shall look into the matter himself and shall take appropriate action in accordance with law, if any threat, intimidation or attempt to influence the petitioner or other witnesses is found.

6. The petitioner is further granted liberty to approach the learned court below itself by filing an appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to Opposite Party No. 2 on the grounds stated in the present application.

7. In the event such an application is filed before the learned court below, the same shall be considered and disposed



of on its own merits, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of one month from the date of filing of such application.

8. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this application is disposed of.

9. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the rival contentions, which are left open to be urged before the learned court below. Needless to say, if the petitioner is still aggrieved after the decision of the learned court below, he/she shall be at liberty to approach this Court again, in accordance with law.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

