IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32620 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010472542026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2108 Year-2023 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Araria

==========

Aryan Raaz @ Indrajeet Singh @ Aryan Raj Singh S/o Anandi Singh R/o Village- Minapur, Ward No- 01 P.S.- Matihani, District -Begusarai, Bihar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Meera Kumari W/o Aryan Raaz @ Indrajeet Singh @ Aryan Raj Singh R/o Village - Kathara Chauhan, Ward No.- 04, P.S.- Forbesganj, District - Araria, Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Madhav Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP
Mr. Tarun Kumar Shekhar, Adv.for OP-2

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

4 28-10-2026 Pursuant to order of this Court, the matter was referred to Mediation & Conciliation Centre of Patna High Court, but the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation and as such, mediation failed.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the complainant / opposite party no. 2.

3. The petitioner, who is husband of opposite party no. 2, apprehends his arrest in a complaint case punishable for the offences under Sections 498(A), 120B, 323, 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

WEB COPY

4. Petitioner is alleged to have committed torture and harassment with opposite party no. 2 for dowry.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner denies the allegation made in the complaint petition and submits that he never committed torture to opposite party no. 2 or demanded any dowry. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

6. Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that conduct of the petitioner is not good. In spite of order dated 10.05.2024 passed in Maintenance Case No. 365 of 2022 by the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Araria whereby the learned Family Court has directed the petitioner to pay Rs. 4,000/- (Four thousand) per month to opposite party no. 2 from the date of filing of said application i.e. 28.09.2022, he (petitioner) failed to pay the same.

7. Considering the nature of accusation and conduct of the petitioner, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	

WEB COPY