

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33353 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-280 Year-2023 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhojpur

Vijay Singh @ Vijay Yadav, S/o Late Ramkeval Singh, R/o Village- Sohawan
Tola, P.S-Jagdishpur, District-Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhawana Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 14-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Jagdishpur P. S. Case No. 280 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304B, 201 and 34 of the Indian penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is brother of the father-in-law of the deceased, so he has distant relationship with the deceased and was not directly connected with the household affairs of the deceased's in -laws (husband and real father-in-law). The FIR itself goes to show that the marriage of the deceased took place seven years prior to her death and there were two children of the deceased at the time of her death. In the FIR there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and, altogether nine persons including the



petitioner have been named in the FIR without disclosing any specific role of the petitioner in the commission of the alleged dowry death or disappearance of the dead body. It is further submitted that the alleged incident is said to have taken place on 04.07.2023 but the FIR was registered on 08.07.2023 without explaining the delay of four days. It is lastly submitted that in the present time a good relation has restored in between both the parties which finds place in the trial court's order itself.

4. Learned APP for the State opposes the bail prayer of the petitioner and submits that the instant matter relates to serious offence of dowry death.

5. In the facts and circumstances of this case and considering the statements made in the petition as well as above submissions and the fact that petitioner is said to be brother of the father-in-law of the deceased, coupled with the relief of anticipatory bail to the mother-in-law, brother-in-law and father-in-law of the deceased having been granted by the coordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 05.07.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 37737 of 2024, and further in the FIR no any specific role of the petitioner in the commission of the alleged offences has been attributed, in my opinion, it is a fit case for anticipatory bail to the petitioner, accordingly, let the petitioner



named-above, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Jagdishpur P. S. Case No. 280 of 2023 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

