

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33011 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-479 Year-2021 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

Rani Devi W/o Manoj Paswan @ Manoj Kumar R/o Village - Chakgaaji, P.S -
Ahiyapur, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar @ S.K., Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2026 Heard Mr. Sanjay Kumar @ S.K., learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, learned APP for
the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
21.02.2026, in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 479 of
2021, F.I.R. dated 22.07.2021 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 302, 201, 120(B)/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, on the basis of First
Information Report, is that the son of the informant had gone
outside the house on 21.07.2021 at about 10:00 a.m. and in the
afternoon his mobile phone was found switched off. He did not
return and when search was made on next day at about 04:00
p.m., informant received the information that the dead body of



his son was lying near lichi orchard, near the house of Yugal Kishore Singh. The informant has raised suspicion against the accused persons named in the First Information Report.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and she has been falsely implicated in the present case. It appears from the F.I.R. the informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence and he has filed the present case against the accused persons merely on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that one Anand Kishore @ Chullu has been arrested and he has confessed his guilt in the present occurrence along with other co-accused persons including the petitioner. He further submits that except the aforesaid, nothing cogent material has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence and said Anand Kishore @ Chullu has been granted regular bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 26.05.2022 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12814 of 2022, another co-accused persons, namely, Niraj Kumar and Minta Devi have been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 12.09.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 43390 of 2023, another co-accused person, namely, Prashant Kumar @ Prashant Kumar Jha has been granted regular bail by a



Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 03.05.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 8299 of 2023, another co-accused person, namely, Bhushan Kumar has been granted regular bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 15.09.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 62218 of 2023 respectively. the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of confessional statement of apprehended co-accused person and said co-accused person has been granted bail and other similarly situated co-accused persons have also been granted bail by a different Coordinate Benches of this Court or by this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muzaffarpur in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 479 of 2021, subject to the following



conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed her criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

