

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32498 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2026 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Mustri Begam W/o Laik Beg R/o Village- Muradabad, PS- Sasaram Muffasil,
Distt.- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Kishore Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through virtual mode.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sasaram (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 102 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.,2023. The present application is filed under Sections 483 and 484 of the B.N.S.,2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that altogether seven persons surrounded the son of the informant and killed him by assaulting with *lathi, danda, iron rod* and *garasa*.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. She has been falsely implicated in this



case. He also submits that the name of this petitioner is not there in the F.I.R, rather his name has surfaced on the basis of the confessional statement of her son, namely, Jibu Beg. Jibu Beg is a named accused in this case, and during the course of the investigation, he has given his confessional statement in which he has stated that the petitioner was also involved in the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel also submits that even from the confessional statement of the son of the petitioner, it is not clear as to what the role of the petitioner was. Though the petitioner is not named, her name has surfaced during the course of the investigation. No role is attributed to her even in the confessional statement of her son. He further submits that the petitioner is a lady who is aged about 70 years. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and she is languishing in judicial custody since 26.03.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties
of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned 1st
District & Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram in
connection with Sasaram (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 102 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

