

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8962 of 2025

Sanjay Kumar Singh Son of Late Ram Jyotish Singh, Resident of Village and
P.O. - Bishunpura, P.S. - Bihta, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highway, New Delhi.
2. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The National Highway Authority of India through its Project Director, Project Implementation Unit, Vivekanand Marg, Boring Road, Patna.
4. The Project Director, Project Implementation Unit, NHAI, Vivekanand Marg, Boring Road, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Patna.
6. The Competent Authority cum District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Danapur, Patna.
8. The Circle Officer, Bihta, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Purushotam Sharma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Additional Solicitor General

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 12-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance an appropriate writ for
directing and commanding the respondents
not to come over and take possession of the
land of petitioner pertaining to Khata No-83,
Thana No-58, Plot No-390 Area 04 Decimal*



*of Mauza Sri Rampur and Khata No-132
Thana No-57, Plot NO-992, Area 02
Decimal Land of Mauza Bishumberpur
under Circle Bihta over which the House of
petitioner and shops/office are constructed
and standing, without start the acquisition
process of the said land and house etc. of
petitioner in accordance with law as well as
unless and until the petitioner is not paid the
amount of compensation, 100% soletium and
interest at market rate by treating the said
land of petitioner is commercial land,
according to the provisions of Right to Fair
Compensation and Transparency in Land
Acquisition Rehabilitation and Resettlement
Act 2013 (Hereinafter to be referred as the
act 2013);*

*(ii) for issuance of an appropriate writ,
order or direction directing and
commanding the respondents to pay the
petitioner the amount of compensation,
100% Saletium and interest according to the*



provisions of the aforesaid Act 2013 for his aforesaid land at market rate by treating the said land of the petitioner as commercial, as well as for the house of the petitioner and shops constructed and standing over the said land before their acquisition in accordance with law;

(iii.) for any order relief/reliefs of which the petitioner is legally entitle to.

3. Mr.Purushotam Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ petition.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

