

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9512 of 2024

-
1. Ram Prakash Jha Son of Late Ramchandra Jha Resident of Village- Suja, P.S. Muffasil, District- Begusarai.
 2. Gopal Mishra, Son of Bhola Mishra Resident of Village- Suja, P.S. Muffasil, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Begusarai.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Begusarai.
5. The Circle Officer, Begusarai.
6. Mahesh Mahto, Son of Late Laddu Mahto Resident of Village- Suja, P.S. Muffasil, District Begusarai.
7. Ramashish Mahto, Son of Late Laddu Mahto Resident of Village- Suja, P.S. Muffasil, District Begusarai.
8. Dinesh Mahto, Son of Late Laddu Mahto Resident of Village- Suja, P.S. Muffasil, District Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S.D. Yadav, AAG-09
		Mr. Braj Bhushan Mishra, AC to AAG-09

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the defect(s).

2. Heard the parties.

3. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus commanding and



directing the Respondent authorities to demarcate the land 1 Katha 5 Dhur of Plot No. 698 Khata No. 258 in the presence of the petitioners as per the settlement;

(ii) for further direction to the Respondent authorities not to settle the land measuring an area 1 Katha 5 Dhur of Plot No.698 whereupon the petitioners having residential house-cum-vacant land in possession of the petitioners and the Respondent authorities are taking steps to settle the land to the illegal encroache;

(iii) for further direction to the Respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners in erection of the boundary wall over the said land on the cost of the petitioners;

(iv) for further direction to the Respondent authorities to dispose of the representation of the petitioners by reasoned and speaking order within time framed;

(v) and for any other relief/reliefs for which



*the petitioners are found to be entitled in the
eye of law.*

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching before the District Magistrate, Begusarai for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to the said stand of the petitioner.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the the District Magistrate, Begusarai who shall be passing an order in accordance with the law at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

