

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32329 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2025 Thana- Jagdishpur District- West Champaran

Bablu Alam S/o Ajij Ansari Resident of Village - Jhakhara, P.S. - Jagdishpur,
Dist. - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-07-2026 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava, learned
counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
16.03.2026 in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 192 of
2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5) of
B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution in brief is that the
informant, namely, Shah Alam gave a written information to the
S.H.O, Jagdishpur P.S. stating therein that he solemnized the
marriage of his daughter namely Kukhsana Khatoon with one
Bablu Alam in the month of April, 2023 according to muslim
custom. hat he further stated that his daughter lived properly in
her sasural at about six months of the marriage and thereafter
the persons namely husband, mother-in-law, father-in-law,
Bhaisur, Nanad, Sister-in-law and brother-in-law started to
torture his daughter, then he gave application to the local police
station namely Jagdishpur. That he further stated that the



punches pacified the matter after providing the money for the medical treatment and after some days they again started to torture her. he further stated that 05.10.2025 in the morning he got information that the persons namely Ajij Ansari, Asha Khatoon, wife of Sagir Ansari, Sagir Ansari, Bablu Alam, Sabra Khatoon and Hakim Alam, Sabra Khatoon and Hakim Ansari murdered his daughter with common intention and conspiracy. That he further stated that the persons namely Bablu Alam and Sagir Ansari made conspiracy of occurrence through mobile and after getting the said information he gave application that the said persons occurred the occurrence for the purpose of dowry. That he further requested that an appropriate legal action may kindly be taken against the above mentioned persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the ground that petitioner is husband of the deceased, in fact, the deceased, namely, Kukhsana Khatoon has died on 05.10.2025 and on the date of occurrence the petitioner was in UAE. Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the passport of the petitioner which suggests that petitioner was not in India on the date of occurrence. It is next submitted that it appears from the passport



of the petitioner that the petitioner has gone to UAE on 18.09.2024 and he has returned to India on 09.10.2025 i.e., after four days of the alleged occurrence which suggests that the petitioner was not present in India on the date of occurrence i.e., 05.10.2025. It is next submitted that co-accused person, namely, Sagir Ansari, who is brother-in-law of the deceased, has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail vide order dated 25.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 10137 of 2026 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet and petitioner is in custody since 16.03.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that petitioner has clean antecedent and on the date of occurrence he was not in India and co-accused person, who is happened to be the brother-in-law of the deceased, has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate,
West Champaran, Bettiah in connection with Jagdishpur P.S.
Case No. 192 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

