IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41123 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010463052026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-5 Year-2022 Thana- TARIYANI CHAPRA PS District- Sheohar

==========

Dilip Ray Son of Shri Manoj Ray Resident of At Village - Tajpur Chaor, P.S.- Tariyani Chapra, District - Sheohar, Bihar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 06-11-2026 Heard Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 21.03.2025, in connection with Sessions Trial No. 31 of 2024 arising out of Tariyani Chapra P.S. Case No. 05 of 2022, F.I.R. dated 10.01.2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B), 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail petition of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 23.08.2023 in Cr. Misc. No. 42916 of 2023.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the

WEB COPY

petitioner is in custody since 21.03.2023 and the trial is not in progress.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner that he has demanded dowry from the family members of the deceased and on account of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, he along with his family members killed the deceased and his family members cremated the dead body of the deceased without informing the family member of the deceased and apart from that the petitioner has assailed the order dated 23.08.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 42916 of 2023 before the Hon'ble Apex Court in Special Leave Petition (Criminal) Diary No. 10214 of 2024 but the same was dismissed vide order dated 29.04.2024 and apart from aforesaid, it appears from the impugned order that out of eight chargesheeted witnesses, four witnesses have been examined.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegation in the F.I.R. supported by the witnesses,, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 31 of 2024 arising out of Tariyani Chapra P.S. Case No. 05 of 2022 pending in the

WEB COPY

Court of learned Principal Sessions Judge, Sheohar, Bihar

7. Prayer is refused.

8. However, learned Trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	

WEB COPY