

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33015 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2026 Thana- DUMRA District- Sitamarhi

Lakhindra Kumar S/o Dukha Rai Resident of Village- Bariyarpur, Ward No.
37, P.S.- Sitamarhi, Distt.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Renu Kumari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Dumra P.S. Case No. 02 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S Act and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Lokesh Singh, Raghunath Mahto, Mukesh Jha, and Manish have sought the brother of the informant altogether 11 accused persons are named in the FIR.

4. Learned counsel of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and the name of the petitioner has surfaced during investigation in the confessional statement of co-accused, Manish. The confessional statement of



co-accused, Manish is annexed as Annexure-P/2 and from perusal of the confessional statement of co-accused, Manish, it is clear that the petitioner came to know about the plan of killing the deceased before his shop, he protested and on that day, the plan to kill the deceased was differed and on further day, the deceased was killed. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that if all the confessional statement of Manish is taken to be true then also the only material which has come against the petitioner is that he was having knowledge about the plan of killing the deceased to which he has objected. He is not the part of the plan rather he was only informed by the co-accused Manish regarding the plan. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is in judicial custody since 05.01.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned



Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi in connection with Dumra
P.S. Case no 02 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

