

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32523 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2023 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Gaya

=====

Pintu Paswan @ Budhwa @ Butwa Son of Basant Paswan Resident of
Village- Nichla Bigha Jamne, P.S.- Chandauti, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aryan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-06-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner seeking bail
in connection with Gaya Mahila P.S. Case No. 92 of 2023
registered for the offence under Sections 376, 504, 506 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 23.10.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 74582 of 2024 which
reads as follows:-

*Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Gaya Mahila P.S. Case No.
92 of 2023 registered for the offence under
Sections 376, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal
Code.*

3. As per the prosecution case, the



petitioner is said to have committed rape upon the victim on the point of country made pistol.

4. The petitioner is in jail since 02.05.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case because of money dispute and he also submits that there is delay of eleven days in lodging the FIR.

6. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the serious allegation of rape levelled against the petitioner this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

9. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and conclude the same at the earliest.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2024 and in the trial, two witnesses have been examined.

5. Considering the allegations levelled against the petitioner and the fact that the trial has started, I am not inclined to review my earlier order. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands dismissed.

6. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of



adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

