

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32123 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2026 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

Niraj Kumar S/o Anuranjan Kumar Resident of Village- Kurji Kothiya, Vikas
Nagar, P.S.- Patliputra, P.O.- Sadaquat Ashram, District- Patna, (Bihar)
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Princy Mathew D/o not given Resident of Mohalla- Kurji Holy Family
Hospital, P.S.0 Patliputra, District- Darbhanga
... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Shaheen Begum, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No. 15 of 2026 lodged on 07.01.2026, for the offence punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 336(4), 340(1) & 340(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the Court of Additional Sessions Judge II, Patna.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against the sole petitioner alleging that he has defalcated amount of Rs. 72,91,650/- from the students of a college.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that the petitioner's role is very limited and he is only a



clerk of the institution and only due to pressure that he was asked to convert his religion for which, he was not ready, then this case has been lodged against him. He further submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that in this case, huge defalcation of money has been made by the petitioner.

6. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case considering that the petitioner has no plausible explanation regarding the defalcation of the said money, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

