

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37018 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2025 Thana- SAKRI District- Madhubani

1. Saiyad Sahil @ Sahil @ Sayyad Sahil S/O Saiyad Mahtab @ Md. Mahtab @ Mahtab @ Mahtan R/o Village-Sakri, Chanda Tola PO and PS- Sakri, Dist- Madhubani
2. Faizan @ Sayyad Faizan S/O Saiyad Mahtab @ Md. Mahtab @ Mahtab @ Mahtan R/o Village-Sakri, Chanda Tola PO and PS- Sakri, Dist- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Munna
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 303(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 07.10.2025 at 4.20 P.M. on account of previous land dispute, accused persons including the petitioners came and assaulted her by lathi, danda and stones causing injury, further her husband was also assaulted by the named accused persons



and Faizan, Sahil and Imran snatched her chain.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is further submitted that though it is alleged that accused persons assaulted her and her husband but then the allegation of assault is not specific. It is next submitted that the injury report of the informant opined the injury to be grievous in nature but injury of the husband of the informant has been opined to be simple in nature. It is further submitted that whether the injury was on account of assault or the informant fell and on account of which she suffered injury is an aspect to be tested in the trial. It is reiterated and submitted that allegation of assault is not specific and petitioners are persons with clean antecedent. It is also submitted that even injury suffered by the informant is not on vital part of the body.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner but then are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf



of the petitioners that allegation of assault is not specific and even the injury suffered by the informant is not on vital part of the body.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and also taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sakri P.S. Case No.191 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

