

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1687 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.898 of 2024

=====

Arun Kumar Singh Son of Late Shiva Kumar Prasad Singh, Permanent resident of Village-Sarmera, Post Office and Anchal and Police Station-Sarmera, District-Nalanda, presently residing at House No. 380/A, Mohalla Nehru Nagar, Post Office and Police Station-Patliputra, Town and District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Land Reforms Government of Bihar Patna.
2. Sri Dipak Kumar Singh, son of not known to the petitioner, the Principal Secretary, Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. Sri Shashank Shubhankar, I.A.S, son of not known to the petitioner, the Collector cum District Magistrate, Nalanda at Bihar Sharif, Bihar.
4. Sri Vikash Kumar, B A S, son of not known to the petitioner, the District Land Acquisition Officer, Nalanda at Bihar Sharif, Bihar.
5. Sri Sheonandan Singh, The Circle Officer, Anchal Sarmera, District-Nalanda.
6. The Union of India through the Secretary, Road and Transport Department (National Highways Division), New Delhi.
7. Sri V. Umashankar, I A S, son of not known to the petitioner, Secretary, the Road Transport and Highways, Government of India, New Delhi.
8. Sri Raju Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, Project Director, Project Implementation Unit (PIU), N H A I, Chhapra, Bihar.
9. Sri Prabhat Ranjan Pandey, Project Director, Project Implementation Unit (PIU), N H A I, Gaya, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Sunil Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Government Pleader 2
	AC to GP-2
	Mr. Maurya Vijay Chandra, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 05-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned

AC to GP-2 for the State and the learned counsel appearing on



behalf of the NHAI.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the contempt application as grievance of the petitioner stands redressed.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly the instant contempt application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

