

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32277 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-413 Year-2025 Thana- ROH District- Nawada

1. Sikendra Yadav @ Sikendra Kumar Son of Ramswaroop Yadav @ Ramsvarup Yadav Resident of Village - Bhatta, P.s.- Roh, District - Nawada
2. Satish Kumar @ Satnarayan Kumar @ Satnarayan japani son of Ramswaroop Yadav @ Ramsvarup yadav Resident of Village - Bhatta, P.s.- Roh, District - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Durgesh Nandan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Hona Yunus, Advocate
	Mr. Afsha Mokhtar, Advocate
	Mr. Nasar Iqbal, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners have prayed for regular bail in connection with a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2) & (3), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1) & (2), 117(2) & (4), 109, 74, 303(2), 352 and 351(2) of the BNS, 2023 and later on, Section 103 of BNS was added.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that while the husband of the informant was returning, he was allegedly assaulted and brutally beaten by the named accused persons along with 10–15 others, as a result of which he later succumbed to the injuries.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also submitted that the deceased, namely Athar Hussain, had given his statement before the police wherein he stated that he was assaulted by a mob but did not name any individual. It is argued that the best person to disclose the identity of the assailants was the injured/deceased himself, who did not name the petitioner or any other accused. The allegation against the petitioners is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent and they are in judicial custody since 15.12.2025. Learned counsel has further submitted that similarly situated other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 29015 of 2026.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that the present case relates to mob lynching and the nature of allegations is serious.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail.



7. Accordingly, the petitioners, above-named, are directed to be released on bail in connection with Roh P.S. Case No. 413 of 2025, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Nawada.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

