

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36294 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-795 Year-2025 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

Chhotu Kumar Singh @ Golden Kumar son of Sri Nagendra Singh Resident
Of Village- Janakpur Po- Buniyadganj Ps -Mufassil District -Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saptashwa Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramchandra Sahni, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 02-06-2026 Heard Mr. Saptashwa Singh, learned Advocate for the

petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State

through virtual mode.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 795 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. On the given date and time of occurrence while the informant was on his way to home, in the meanwhile, the petitioner along with co-accused person caught hold and this petitioner assaulted him over his head by means of *chilohi* due to which he sustained cut injury. There is further allegation that the accused person also snatched Rs.5,000/-. Prior to the occurrence, this petitioner was indulged in threatening the informant.



4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that in fact both the petitioner as well as the informant are resident of same locality and on account of some trifle, they entered into a free fight resulting into some unfortunate injury. Moreover, the injury allegedly sustained to the informant is concerned, the same has been found to be simple in nature. To support the aforesaid contention, supplementary injury report of the informant has been placed on record. It is further contended that this petitioner carries a criminal antecedent over his head but the same is of 2014 wherein the petitioner has been extended the privilege of bail long back in the year 2015 itself. The petitioner undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the injury report clearly corroborates the prosecution case and the informant sustained injury over his vital part.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of nature of injury which appears to be simple, besides the undertaking of the petitioner, let the petitioner abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the



court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned 9th District and Additional Sessions Judge, Gaya, in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 795 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further conditions:

(i) that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner,

(ii) that the petitioner would not indulge in intimidating the witnesses/informant or tampering with the evidence, and

(iii) that in case, the petitioner shall be found indulge in intimidating the informant/witnesses or tampering with the evidence, the informant/State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of the bail of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

