

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32265 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-554 Year-2025 Thana- RAJAOLI District- Nawada

Rahul Kumar @ Rahul Kr. Son of Manoj Yadav Resident of Village- Lakshmi
Bigha, P.S.- Rajauli, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Prasad Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No. 554 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 303(2), 109, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner and one Mukesh Yadav assaulted the informant's husband, namely Awadesh Yadav, with *knife* and *farsa* on the head, due to which he received bleeding head injury and fell down. It is further alleged that the father-in-law of the informant was also assaulted with *sabal*.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the nature of the allegation is general and omnibus. It is not clear from the F.I.R. as to who was holding the knife and who was holding the *farsa*. He further submits that from perusal of the injury report of Awadesh Yadav, it is clear that he has received one laceration mid-scalp, which is 10 cm x ½ cm x ½ cm, and the doctor has opined that the nature of the injury is grievous on the basis of the CT brain. He further submits that from perusal of the injury report, it will transpire that according to the doctor, the injuries were inflicted with blunt object. Learned counsel further submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that he, along with one other, has assaulted with a *knife* and *farsa*, whereas the injury report goes to show that the injuries were inflicted with a blunt object. The allegations does not co-relate with the injuries. He further submits that as far as the injury of the father-in-law of the informant is concerned, the same is simple in nature, but it is not clear from the F.I.R. as to who has assaulted the father of the informant. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 09.03.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP



for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No. 554 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

