

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32379 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-61 Year-2026 Thana- PHULPARAS District- Madhubani

Baijnath Yadav @ Baidyanath Yadav Son of Kamaldev Yadav Resident of
Village- Bandar Jhuli, (wrongly mention in FIR Belhibodar), Lakshmipur,
P.S.- Laukaha, District- Madhubani, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases, out of which, one case is
under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 576 litres
of liquor from a car. It is next submitted that petitioner was not
arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his
conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized vehicle
and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of
Deepak and Dhirendra in police custody which does not have



any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that after amendment in the Excise Act in the year 2018, the concept of deemed possession and presumed offender has been done away with.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Phulparas P.S. Case No.61/2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than two cases, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of two cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall



be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

