

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31749 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2025 Thana- MATIHANI District- Begusarai

Kundan Rai @ Kundan Kumar Son of Late Bino Rai Resident of Village-
Jagdishpur, P.S.- Lakho, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Kishor Poddar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Matihani P.S. Case No.168 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 310(2) & 317(5) of the BNS and under Sections 25(1-B)a, 26 and 36 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the informant CSP centre from his home on a bike with a bag containing Rs.2,29,000/-, H.P. Laptop, Biometric Machine and PNB cheque book. It is alleged that unknown miscreants snatched the bag and they ran towards Bahadarpur on same bike.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that name of the petitioner is not there



in FIR. It has further been submitted that the name of this petitioner has surfaced in this case during course of investigation. Co-accused Amit has given his confessional statement and name of this petitioner has surfaced during investigation in the confessional statement of Amit. It has further been submitted that save and except confessional statement, there is nothing against petitioner. No recovery was made from the possession of the petitioner. It has further been submitted that from the perusal of the learned trial court it is clear that one blue coloured Apache bike alleged to have been used in the commission of offence belongs to the petitioner. Countering this, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it is not clear from the FIR as to what was the registration number of the bike which was used in the offence. It is lastly submitted that petitioner is in judicial custody since 10.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State. He has submitted that petitioner is having criminal antecedent of one case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties
of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned
District & Additional Sessions Judge-V, Begusarai in connection
with Matihani P.S. Case No.168 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Durgesh/-
Rohit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

