

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11892 of 2015

=====

Ganga Pandit Son of Late Sindheshwar Pandit, Resident of Village - Rampur
Habib, P.O. - Rampur, P.S. - Bakhri Bazar, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Begusarai.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Bakhri under the District - Begusarai.
4. The Sub - Divisional Officer, Bakhri under the District - Begusarai. null null
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Bakhri Block under the District Begusarai.
6. Sri Mahanth Sity Ram Das, Chela of Late Mahanth Bharat Das, resident of
village - Rampur Habib, P.S. - Rampur, P.S. - Bakhri Bazar, District -
Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay, GA-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 31-01-2026 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though

Mr. Ajay, GA-12 is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of a writ in the nature of
writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ
or direction or order commanding and directing
to the Respondent authorities to exclude the
lands measuring 11 katha of Khesra No. 957
Khata No. 201 Thana No. 161 and Tauzi No. 603*



situated of village Rampur belonging to the petitioner which have illegally declared to be Surplus land of late Mahanth Bharat Das of Rampur Asthal by Distt. Gazettee Notification dated 31.3.2001 bearing land ceiling Case No. 1 of 1973-74 (State Vrs. Bharat Das) behind the back and prior notice or knowledge to the petitioner and also without holding any enquiry under section 5 (i) (iii) of the ceiling Act by the learned Collector.”

3. It has been informed by learned State counsel that 15 years after the present gazette notification took place on 31.03.2001 in Land Ceiling Case No. 01 of 1973-74 (The State of Bihar vs. Bharat Das), the present writ petition has been filed only to disturb the proceeding already concluded.

4. There is force in the submission of learned State counsel. However, since there is no appearance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

