

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31583 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-414 Year-2023 Thana- SHRIKRISHNAPURI District- Patna

Rohit Kumar son of Anil Singh Village- Molana Bigha, (Maulana Bigha),
Police Station- Sare, District -Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 10-02-2026 Heard the parties.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Shri Krishna Puri P.S. Case No. 414 of 2023 registered for the offence under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 28.08.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 58982 of 2024 which reads as under:-

*Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned APP for the State.*

*2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is
permitted to make necessary correction in
paragraph 8 of the petition.*

*3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with S.K. Puri P.S. Case No. 414 of 2023 registered
for the offence under Section 392 of the Indian
Penal Code.*



4. *As per the prosecution case, two unknown criminals, on gun point have looted one Bullet Motorcycle and one mobile phone from the informant.*

5. *The petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2024 and the looted motorcycle has been recovered from the house of the petitioner.*

6. *Considering the fact that the looted motorcycle has been recovered from the house of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.*

7. *Accordingly, this application is dismissed.*

8. *The Court below is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and conclude the same at the earliest.*

3. It has very fairly been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the charges have been framed in this case.

4. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the trial has started, this court finds no ground to review its earlier order.

5. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

