

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31200 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-970 Year-2025 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

Saurav Kumar @ Saurav Singh Son of Nagendra Singh @ Magan Singh
Resident of Village - Dubhal, P.S.- Magadh Medical, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S.K. Lal, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Parmanand Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-05-2026 Heard Mr. S. K. Lal, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Parmanand Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 12.03.2026 in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 970 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 19.09.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 310(2) and 317(3) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 25(1-b)(a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, 5-6 accused persons made an attempt to commit dacoity and snatched the bag containing money from the informant's brother. In the meantime, two persons were caught by the police and disclosed their name as Satish Kumar and Dayanand Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Initially the petitioner was not named in the FIR and his name has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused, namely, Satish Singh and Dayanand Kumar Bharti and till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution and nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession or the house of the petitioner. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. He further submits that similarly situated, co-accused, namely, Mahakal @ Mantu Yadav has been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.03.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 13438 of 2026 and co-accused, namely, Satish Singh @ Satish Kumar has been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 08.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 85543 of 2025. The petitioner is in custody since 12.03.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries three criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits that he has been acquitted in one case and in two cases he is on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing



bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Gaya in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 970 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

