

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33660 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-38 Year-2026 Thana- DHANARUA District- Patna

Prince Raj Son of Anil Kumar Sinha @ Anil Kumar Singh Resident of
Village- Parshuram Colony, Sandalpur, P.S.- Agamkuan, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nagendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Dhanarua P.S. Case No. 38 of 2026 instituted for the offence
under Sections 103(1), 61(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on
22.01.2026, Sonu Kumar (deceased) was returning from
Masaurhi with his friend when 4–6 unknown assailants
intercepted them near Surya Mandir, Nima on NH-22 and shot
him dead. It is alleged that the deceased had been receiving
death threats from several named persons of his village for the
preceding 2–3 days, who had also been seen moving around his



house shortly before the incident.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 29.01.2026. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Bitu Kumar. From perusal of the FIR, it would reveal that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner, rather the same is against other co-accused person. During investigation, it is reveal that specific allegation of firing is against co-accused, namely, Dhananjay Kumar. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner, there being no specific allegation against the petitioner and charge sheet being submitted, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Dhanarua P.S. Case No. 38 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

