

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33002 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2026 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

1. Rambhavani Sahani S/o Awadh Bihari Sahani R/o Vilalge - Tikulia, PS. - Pipra, Dis. - East Chamaparan(Motihari), Bihar.
2. Sanjeev Suman S/o Ram Bhavani Sahani R/o Vilalge - Tikulia, PS. - Pipra, Dis. - East Chamaparan(Motihari), Bihar.
3. Bachcha Lal Sahani @ Bacchalal Sahani @ Bacha Lal Sahani S/o Ram Bilas Sahani R/o Vilalge - Tikulia, PS. - Pipra, Dis. - East Chamaparan(Motihari), Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Baxi S.R.P. Sinha, Sr. Adv.
		Mr. Ramendra Kumar Bharti, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 13-05-2026 Heard Baxi S.R.P. Sinha, Learned Senior Counsel

with Mr. Ramendra Kumar Bharti, Advocate for the petitioners

and Learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No.56 of 2026 lodged on 09.02.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 308(3), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against five named accused persons including the present petitioners against whom there is allegation that they have demanded ransom for conduction of Asthayam at Hanuman Temple.



4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Counsel also submits that for the same date and place of occurrence, there are case and counter-case between the parties. He further submits that when the petitioners' case has not been registered by the police then, they filed a complaint case against the informant for the said occurrence, which is still pending.

5. Senior counsel submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioners is not clean as there is one criminal case pending against them in which they are on bail. He further submits that save and except section 109 of the BNS, all offences are bailable in nature. From bare reading of the FIR, the only allegation has come against the petitioners and other accused persons, but the ingredients of section 109 of the BNS are absent.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners and submits that there is allegation of injury caused on the vital parts of the body. He also submits that the ingredients of Section 109 of the BNS are absent.

7. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case, let the above named petitioners be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the



learned Court below within a period of 4 weeks from today, on
furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand)
each as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the BNSS, 2023 to the
satisfaction of CJM, East Champaran, Motihari in connection
with Pipra P.S. Case No.56 of 2026, subject to the conditions as
laid down U/s 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

