

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8278 of 2025

Sujit Kumar Bharti, Son of Late Ramdeo Prasad, Resident of Village-
Kafarpur, P.O.- Berka, P.S. Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Law Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Patna High Court Through The Registrar General, Patna High Court, Patna
4. The Co-ordination Committee Through the Convener-cum-District and Sessions Judge, Patna
5. The Convener-cum-District and Sessions Judge, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Gaurav Govind, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Standing Counsel (04)
For the PHC	:	Mr. Piyush Lal, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner,

learned counsel appearing for the State and learned counsel

appearing for the respondent nos. 3 to 5.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the

following relief/s :-

*“(i) For issuance of appropriate
writ/writs/order/orders commanding the*



respondents to reveal the marks obtained by the petitioner in the interview conducted by the respondents for appointment on the post of Clerk in the Sub-ordinate Courts of Bihar.

(ii) For issuance of appropriate writ/writs/order/orders commanding the respondents to appoint the petitioner on the post of clerk.

(iii) For issuance of any other writ/writs/order/orders or direction to which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 5 has raised a preliminary objection, submitting that as per the petitioner's own pleadings, the selection process was completed in the year 2015. It is further contended that after an inordinate delay of about ten years, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition in the year 2025, seeking disclosure of the marks obtained by him in the interview.

4. This Court, upon perusal of the statement made in paragraph 15 of the writ petition, notes that the selection process pursuant to the advertisement dated 06.02.2011 was concluded in the year 2015. The petitioner has, for the first time in the year 2025, sought disclosure of the marks obtained in the interview.

5. In view of such unexplained and inordinate delay,



this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition, being devoid of merit, stands dismissed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

