

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14060 of 2015

=====

Ganga Devi Wife of Late Hazari Sah resident of village - Parsauni, Post
Office - Parsauni, Police Station - Bisfi, District - Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Madhubani
3. The Additional Collector, Madhubani
4. Niras Sahu Son of Manu Lal Sahu resident of village - Parsauni, Police
Station - Bisfi, District - Madhubani
5. Shatrughan Narayan Mahto @ Mahta Son of Late Badri Narayan Mahto
resident of village - Parsauni, Police Station - Bisfi, District - Madhubani
6. Laxmi Sah Son of Late Nazari Sah
7. Shivjee Sah Son of Late Hazari Sah Both Nos. 6 and 7 are resident of village
- Partsauni, P.S. Bisfi, District - Madhubani
8. Geeta Devi Daughter of Late Hazari Sah and Wife of Ram Prasad Sah
resident of village - Kakarba Noor Chak, Post office - Dhagwa, Police
Station - Bisfi, District - Madhubani
9. Bechani Devi Daughter of Late Hazari Sah and Wife of Ganauri Prasad Sah
resident of village and Post Office Labha, Police Station - Keoti, District -
Darbhanga

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prabhas Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mrs. GP-10-Kumari Amrita
		Mr. S.K. Thakur, Advocate
		Mr. Adiya Kr. Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 27-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(A) A certiorari for setting aside the
order dated 01.04.2015 (Annexure-11) passed by
Bihar Land Tribunal Patna whereby and whereunder
B.LT. case No.118/2015 filed by the petitioner has
illegally been rejected.*



(B) A certiorari for setting aside the order dated 9.9.2014 (mnexure-10) passed by Learned Additional Member, Board of Revenue has illegally been rejected And also setting aside the order dated 24.4.2006 ((Annexure-9) passed by the Collector Madhubani in case No. 141/2000 2001 where-by and where under well considered and reasoned order passed by the Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Madhubani and Additional Collector, Madhubani has been set aside law without considering the Mad and facts involved in the case.

(C) Any other relief or reliefs which may be granted in the fact and circumstances of the present case may kindly be granted.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with the change of law relating to pre-emption matter, the case has become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

5. The amount, if deposited, has to be returned.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

