

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31528 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-494 Year-2025 Thana- BAISI District- Purnia

=====

Jeetan Rai @ Jitan Harijan @ Jiten Rai @ Jitendra Harijan Son of Sudina Rai
@ Sudin Harijan Resident of Village- Khunkhuni P.S- Jalalgarh, Dist- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Chandani Devi Wife of Dilip Rai Resident of village- Chopara, Ward no. 04,
Po- Shadipur Butaha, Ps- Baisi, Dist- Purnea

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sumit Kumar Bhagat, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 22-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Baisi
P.S. Case No. 494 of 2025 instituted for the offences under
Sections 85, 80, 103(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
allegedly murdered his wife by strangulation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the



petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. Learned counsel further submitted that from perusal of the FIR, it is evident that deceased died on her maternal house and the petitioner is being dragged in this case merely on the basis of suspicion. He further submitted that there is no tangible evidence to connect the petitioner with the alleged incident. Learned counsel further submitted that there is no allegation of demand of dowry against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 25.01.2026 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased who murdered his wife by strangulating her which is corroborated by the post-mortem report. He further submitted that police, after completion of the investigation, submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner. Learned APP, therefore, submitted that the petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the petitioner being the husband of the deceased, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the



petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

