

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33420 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-712 Year-2025 Thana- ARA NAWADA District- Bhojpur

Arvind Kumar S/o Tuntun Singh Resident of Village - Mahurahi, P.S -
Dhangai, District - Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akash Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Nirmala Kumari, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocate
Ms. Kanupriya, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH
ORAL ORDER

3 23-07-2026 Heard learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner,

learned counsel on behalf of the informant and learned A.P.P. on

behalf of the State.

2. This application is filed for grant of regular bail to

the petitioner who has been made accused in connection with

Nawada P.S Case No. 712 of 2025 instituted for the offences

punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 3(5), 85, 80

of the B.N.S, 2023 read with Section 3/4 of the Dowry

Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant's

daughter, Bandana Kumari was married to the cousin brother of

this petitioner in the year 2024. After marriage, there was

demand of dowry and jewellery and she was tortured. It has



been alleged that on 29.10.2025, the petitioner's brother assaulted the informant's daughter and forcefully made her to drink poison. When the informant got this information, he rushed to her home and with the help of the police, the daughter of the informant was taken to Sadar Hospital, Ara.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case due to ulterior motive. It is also submitted that the petitioner has not made any offence as alleged in the FIR and it has further been submitted that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and there was no whisper of any overt act, assault, torture, administration of poison or participation in dowry demand against the petitioner.

5. Further, it has been submitted that in the re-statement of the informant recorded during investigation, the informant has not disclosed the name of the petitioner and has not attributed any specific allegation against him. But, during course of investigation in subsequent improved statement, the informant casually introduced the name of the petitioner along with the allegations of torture and administering poison to the deceased which shows that it is a clear afterthought and material improvement of the original version given in the FIR.



6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 19.12.2025 and is having a clean antecedent.

7. The Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court in Cr. Misc. No. 23881/2026 has granted bail to the co-accused, namely, Pradeep Kumar Singh and Indu Devi who are the father and mother of the husband of the deceased.

8. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

9. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Nawada P.S Case No. 712 of 2025, subject to following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors should be family member of the petitioner.

(ii) Petitioner will co-operate in the trial and will remain present on all dates personally or should be duly represented through his counsel and whenever required to be physically present by the court, he should physically appear in



the Court on that date.

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Kumar Manish, J)

Ritwika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

