

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31344 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-729 Year-2025 Thana- SIKARPUR District- West Champaran

1. Meraj Ansari Son of Doma Mian Resident of Village- Rajpur, Ward no. 12, Ps- Shikarpur, dist- West Champaran
2. Nesar Ansari @ Nesar Ansar Son of Sharif Ansari Resident of Village- Rajpur, Ward no. 12, Ps- Shikarpur, dist- West Champaran
3. Bhola Ansari @ Tejamul Ansari @ Tejabul Ansari Son of Monif Ansari @ Manif Miyan Resident of Village- Rajpur, Ward no. 12, Ps- Shikarpur, dist- West Champaran

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shahbaj Alam
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar
For the Informant	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard Mr. Shahbaj Alam, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Santosh Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the informant and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioners seek bail who are in custody since 19.01.2026 in connection with Shikarpur Excise P.S. Case No. 729 of 2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2) and 3(5) of BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that informant Mritunjay Mishra says that on 17-07-2025 in between 07:00 pm to 09:00 pm his son Murari Mishra was returning at



his home after plying the tempo. Further stated that when he reached near Devi Asthan Gadhi Mai he saw that accused persons namely Akhilesh Ram, Shubham Ram @ Bhola and petitioner Meraj Ansari, Nesar Ansari (petitioner), Bhola Ansari (petitioner) came on bike and after getting off they began assaulting with slaps and fists and they also snatched silver chain and took out Rs. 8,000/- from his pocket. Further stated that when his son tried to save himself then all accused inflicted with knife and sharp edge gadasi with an intention to kill him and they fled away taking chain and rupees from the place of incident. Further stated that informant got information on phone and he reached the place of incident where he found that his son is unconscious and soaked in blood. Further stated that his son was brought to the government hospital Narkatiyagaj and from where he was referred to Bettiah government hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner no. 1 has two antecedents and petitioner nos. 2 and 3 have antecedent of one case other than the present case but fairly submits that the petitioners are on bail in the pending matters. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. It is



further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is next submitted that although there is specific allegation of assault of against the petitioners that they have assaulted the son of the informant by means of knife and some of the injuries received by the injured is simple in nature caused by sharp edged weapon. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that similarly situated co-accused persons, and police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet and petitioner is in custody since 19.01.2026. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that co-accused person, namely, Akhilesh Ram has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 83390 of 2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Bettiah, West



Champaran in connection with Shikarpur Excise P.S. Case No. 729 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

