

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31800 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-282 Year-2024 Thana- NOKHA District- Rohtas

Binod Singh S/o Late Suchit Singh R/o Vill- Chanka, PS- Nokha, Dist-
Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
Ms. Soni Kumari, Advocate
Mr. Amrit Lal, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER**

2 08-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Nokha
P.S. Case No. 282 of 2024 dated 28.07.2024, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2),
115(2), 109, 103, 303(2), 118, 352, 351(2) and 351(3) of the
Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023.

3. It transpires that the petitioner had preferred regular
bail applications on earlier two occasions. However, vide order
dated 05.03.2025, this Court had rejected the regular bail
application of the petitioner in Cr. Misc. No. 319 of 2025 on the
basis of seriousness of the alleged offence. Subsequently, the



petitioner had renewed his prayer for regular bail on new ground of period of custody. However, vide order dated 25.07.2025, this Court had again rejected the regular bail application but stipulating that in case trial is not concluded within next nine months from that day, the petitioner might renew his prayer for regular bail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed one certified copy of the last date dated 16.04.2026, passed by learned Trial Court which shows that trial is still not concluded and only three prosecution witnesses have been examined and as per learned counsel for the petitioner, nine prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined by the prosecution. Hence, the trial may take long time.

5. He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 18.09.2024.

6. It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the non-conclusion of the trial despite passage of more than nine months since 25.07.2025, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named,



to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court below in connection with Nokha P.S. Case No. 282 of 2024, on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not get hampered on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court



below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong,
learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

