

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30748 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2025 Thana- UJIYARPUR District- Samastipur

Kanhaiya Ram S/o Shivam Ram R/o Village - Shalampur @ Salempur, P.S -  
Bachhawara, District - Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sabal Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3      21-07-2026              Heard Mr. Sabal Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the  
petitioner and Mr. Choubey Jawahar, learned APP for the State.  
Perused the case diary.

2.      The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Ujiyarpur P.S. Case No. 208 of 2025 instituted for the offence  
under Sections 103(1), 61(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya  
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3.      The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant  
alleged that her son was shot dead by 4–5 unknown assailants,  
and that the murder was committed pursuant to a criminal  
conspiracy orchestrated by Manoranjan Giri due to prior  
personal enmity. It is further alleged that co-accused acted as  
facilitators, and that the deceased had been threatened with dire



consequences shortly before the incident, including on the previous night.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 19.03.2026. Petitioner bears eight (8) criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Murari Kumar and Prem Kumar. Save and except confessional statement of the petitioner, there is no material against the petitioner and also the same has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner by contending that petitioner is the real conspirator behind the alleged occurrence, hence, he does not deserve the privilege of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, petitioner being the real conspirator behind the alleged occurrence, this Court, at this stage, is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is hereby *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Raj Kishore/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

