

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31907 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-567 Year-2025 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

=====

Shaikh Shahil @ Md. Sahil @ Shekh Shahil S/O Sheikh Kadir Resident of
Village- Kunjlahi Sheikh toli, ward No. 11, P.S.- Nautan, Dist.- West
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sunita Devi W/O Late Bullet Patel R/O Vill.- Khalwa Khap Tola (Nautan
Dubey), P.S.- Nautan, Dist.- West Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable in connection with Nautan
P.S. Case No.567 of 2025 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 137(2),
87, 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 pending before the
court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-1st-cum-Special
Judge(SC/ST), Bettiah, West Champaran.

3. As per the prosecution, the FIR has been lodged
against five named and three unknown accused persons
including the petitioner with allegation that the accused persons
had kidnapped the informant's daughter and assaulted her.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits



that the petitioner has been falsely implicated due to dirty village politics. He further submits that there is no eye witness of kidnapping with intention to marry by enticing the victim. He further submits that nothing specific allegation against the petitioner to participated in the alleged occurrence.

5. Learned Counsel also submits that antecedent of the petitioner is clean. He further submits that the victim has given her statement under section 180 and 183 of the BNSS in which she stated that no force has been made with her.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the Sessions Court upon consideration of bail application, has ascertain the date of birth of the victim is 04.05.2009 on the basis of school certificate. In this background, on the date of occurrence, the victim was minor.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, therefore the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Prakashmani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

