

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30620 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-285 Year-2025 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-  
Begusarai

=====

Piyush Kumar Son of Wakil Kunwar @ Rajendra Singh Resident of Village-  
Daniyalpur, P.S.- Teghra, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                            |                           |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s :     | Mr. Sandip Kumar Gautam   |
| For the Opposite Party/s : | Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      08-05-2026                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 285 of 2025 registered for the offence  
under Sections 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The petitioner is said to have been involved in  
committing the murder of the deceased. Unknown criminals had  
brutally killed the deceased by cutting his neck. After the  
recovery of the dead body, the police investigated the case. The  
name of the petitioner has surfaced in the case on the basis of  
the self-inculpatory statements of the co-accused Niraj Kumar  
Nayan and Himanshu Kumar, whose bail applications had been  
rejected by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 91109 of 2025 along with



Cr. Misc. No. 9149 of 2025 on 30.03.2026.

5. The allegations against the petitioner are serious and grave in nature. There exists *prima facie* material against the petitioner indicating his involvement in the commission of the offence. The petitioner is in custody since 26.09.2025 and has six criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of the accusation, the material available on record, and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands rejected.

8. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

**(Sandeep Kumar, J)**

Shishir/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

