

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1683 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10662 of 2015

=====

Adity Narayan Singh Son of Late Sita ram Singh, Resident of Village -
Jafarpur Gawandri, P.S. - Veldi, District - Saran Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The District Magistrate Sitamarhi and Ors null null
2. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi Rajeev Roshan
3. The Block Development Officer, Dumra Block, Sitamarhi- Sanjay Kumar Singh
4. The Block Development Officer, Majorganj, District - Sitamarhi- Suman Singh
5. The Block Development Officer, Parihar, District - Sitamarhi- Niranjan Kumar
6. The Block Development Officer, Suppi, Sitamarhi- Anjna Kumari
7. The Block Development Officer, Rosera, Samastipur- Shivangi Kumari
8. The Accountant General, Veer Chand Patel Path, Patna, Bihar- Sanjay Kumar null null

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Mishra, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Raisul Haque, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

9 09-07-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*for initiating a proceeding of
contempt against the Respondents for their
willful disobedience to the order and
judgment dated 24.07.2015 passed by
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dr. Ravi in CWJ.C NO-*



10662/15 whereby and whereunder this Hon'ble Court was pleased to dispose of the connected writ petition giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Sitamarhi by filing a representation alongwith a copy of this order and also with copy of letter dated 06.06.2015 sent by the Block Development Officer, Dumra, Sitamarhi. Further direction was issued that the B.D.O. Dumra will send last pay certificate along with service Book to subsequent place of transfer. The respondents were directed to dispose of the issue by passing a reasoned order within a period of six weeks from the date of filing such representation.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the Collector, Sitamarhi has passed a reasoned order (Annexure- B to the show cause) communicated to the petitioner vide memo no. 476 dated 29.04.2019.

4. In that background, granting liberty to the petitioner to agitate afresh if he has any grievance again, the file has to be consigned.

I.A. No. 01 of 2019

5. The aforesaid I.A. has been preferred for recall of the order dated 01.03.2019 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench



(Hon'ble Mr. Justice, A.K. Upadhyay, as his Lordship then was)
by which a cost of Rs. 50,000 was imposed.

6. A reason given by the opposite party no. 2 stands incorporated in paragraphs 5 to 8 of the petition.

7. In that background, this Court is of the opinion that reason has been given by the opposite party no. 2 and as such, the I.A. No. 01 of 2019 has to be allowed.

8. Accordingly, ordered.

9. The order dated 02.04.2019 by which direction was given to pay Rs. 50,000/- as cost stands recalled.

10. I.A. No. 01 of 2019 is disposed of.

11. MJC No. 1683 also stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

