

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31602 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-625 Year-2025 Thana- BIDUPUR District- Vaishali

Abhishek Kumar son of Kaga Paswan @ Vishwanath Bhagat Resident of  
Village- Amer Police station- Bidupur District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashutosh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4      22-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bidupur  
P.S. Case No. 625 of 2025 instituted for the offences under  
Sections 140(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the accused  
persons allegedly kidnapped the informant's 4-year-old son and  
demanded ₹10,000/- as ransom, leading to the registration of  
the present case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the  
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The  
name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of  
mobile number which was used in the alleged demand of



ransom. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner's mobile was misused by someone else for the alleged demand of ransom and this petitioner never demanded any ransom from the informant nor he kidnapped the informant's son. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. There is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 14.10.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that the accused persons kidnapped the minor son of the informant and demanded a ransom of Rs. 10,000/-. He further submitted that the informant's son is still traceless. Learned counsel further contended that the active involvement of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence is established from the mobile phone which was used for demanding ransom which belongs to the petitioner along with CDR report. Learned APP, therefore, submitted that petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, victim still being traceless as also there being ample



material against the petitioner to show the involvement of the petitioner in the kidnapping of the informant’s son, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Alok Verma/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

